003

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 1702 /2003

PETITIONER : Dr. A. R. Pal, S/o. L.S. Pal, Aged about 58 years, Professor Land & water Management, Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Krishak Nagar Raipur (C.G.) Resident at E-2 Krishak Nagar,Raipur (C.G.)

P. R. No. ... Dated ... by Shri ... 31.05.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS R-1. Vice Chancellor
Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Krishak Nagar Raipur (C.G.)

Attested 25/1/05

R-2. ~~Registrar~~
Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Krishak Nagar Raipur (C.G.), through Registrar

as per court order dtd 19.01.05 Neelabh Dubey Adv. 25-01-05

R-3. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary
Department of Agriculture
D.K.S. Mantralaya Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.)

R-4. J.R. Dewangan
Controller (Accounts)
Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Krishak Nagar Raipur (C.G)

RECEIVED COPY. 31.5.03 CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

//2//

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE DIRECTIONS, ORDERS, OR WRITS INCLUDING WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 1702/2003 सन् 200

041

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	30.6.2005 Shri Shasank Dubey, Sr. counsel with Shri Rajesh Yadav, counsel for the petitioner. Shri B. D. Guru, counsel for the Respondents No. 1, 2 and 4. Shri Akhil Agarwal, Panel lawyer for Respondent No.3. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Respondent No.2 appointed an enquiry committee and the Respondent No.4 as Enquiry Officer to enquire into certain charges levelled against the petitioner. The petitioner filed this petition on 31.5.2003 challenging the appointment of the Enquiry Officer on the ground of malafide. He filed an application for interim relief also but no interim order was passed. In the meantime the enquiry has been concluded and the petitioner was informed by letter dated 27.8.2003 that nothing was found against him in the enquiry. In view of the subsequent development, the petitioner submits that this petition has become infructuous. In view of the foregoing, the petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge	

(पीछे देखिये)